Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–5 (2014) Printed 26 March 2014 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

Variations in the initial mass function in early-type galaxies: A critical comparison between dynamical and spectroscopic results

Russell J. Smith
Department of Physics, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE

arXiv:1403.6114v1 [astro-ph.GA] 24 Mar 2014

26 March 2014

ABSTRACT

I present a comparison between published dynamical (ATLAS3D) and spectroscopic (Conroy & van Dokkum) constraints on the stellar initial mass function (IMF) in early-type galaxies, using the 34 galaxies in common between the two works. Both studies infer an average IMF mass factor α (the stellar mass relative to a Kroupa-IMF population of similar age and metallicity) greater than unity, i.e. both methods favour an IMF which is heavier than that of the Milky Way, *on average* over the sample. However, on a galaxy-by-galaxy basis, there is no correlation between α inferred from the two approaches. I investigate how the two estimates of α are correlated systematically with the galaxy velocity dispersion, σ, and with the Mg/Fe abundance ratio. The spectroscopic method, based on the strengths of metal absorption lines, yields a correlation only with metal abundance ratios: at fixed Mg/Fe, there is no residual correlation with σ. The dynamical method, applied to exactly the same galaxy sample, yields the *opposite* result: the IMF variation correlates only with dynamics, with no residual correlation with Mg/Fe after controlling for σ. Hence although both methods indicate a heavy IMF on average in ellipticals, they lead to incompatible results for the systematic trends, when applied to the same set of galaxies. Although other explanations are possible, the sense of the disagreement suggests that one (or both) of the methods has not accounted fully for the main confounding factors, i.e. element abundance ratios or dark matter contributions.

Key words: galaxies: stellar content — galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD

1 INTRODUCTION

The stellar initial mass function (IMF) is a key quantity in astrophysics, both intrinsically, as a constraint on the physics of star formation, and also for its importance in converting observed galaxy luminosities into physically-meaningful stellar masses, star-formation rates, etc. In recent years several largely independent methods have found evidence for a different IMF in early-type galaxies, compared to the Milky Way (MW), and for systematic variation among elliptical galaxies as a function of their mass (Treu et al. 2010; Auger et al. 2010; van Dokkum & Conroy 2010; Conroy & van Dokkum 2012b; Smith, Lucey & Carter 2012; Spiniello et al. 2012; La Barbera et al. 2013; Cappellari et al. 2013).

One method to constrain the IMF uses measurements of spectral features that are sensitive to surface gravity at fixed stellar temperature, and hence reveal the presence of low-mass stars in integrated light spectra (Conroy & van Dokkum 2012a). Dwarf-star-sensitive features are found to increase in strength in higher mass galaxies, beyond what is expected from element abundance trends, according to spectral synthesis models. This effect is interpreted as due to an increasingly bottom-heavy IMF in higher mass galaxies.

Another technique is to infer the total mass in galaxies from gravitational tracers, such as stellar dynamics (e.g. Cappellari et al. 2013) or strong lensing (e.g. Treu et al. 2010). After accounting for the dark matter halo contribution, this leads to an estimate of stellar mass-to-light ratio Υ. Comparing this to the "reference" mass-to-light ($\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$) expected from the spectrum of the galaxy assuming a MW-like IMF, this yields a mismatch factor $\alpha = \Upsilon/\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$. Lensing and dynamical studies both find a trend of increasing α with galaxy mass, which can be attributed to an increasing contribution of low-mass stars, i.e. a more bottom-heavy IMF.[1]

At face value, the spectroscopic and lensing/dynamical results appear to agree, at least at a qualitative level: massive early-type galaxies have IMFs which, on average, are more bottom-heavy than that of the MW, and there is a trend of increasing deviation from the MW IMF at larger mass. This apparent consensus between largely independent methods has understandably led to increased confidence in these results[2]. In this paper, I present a critical evaluation of results obtained by Conroy & van Dokkum (2012b) and by Cappellari et al. (2013) (hereafter CvD and A3D), where comparisons can be made for exactly the same set of galaxies. I start by directly

[1] Or alternatively to stellar remnants form a *top*-heavy IMF; the more common bottom-heavy interpretation is influenced by spectroscopic results.

[2] But note that some other works have favoured MW-like IMFs using lensing (Smith & Lucey 2013) and dynamics (J. Thomas et al. in preparation, see http://www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/halo2013/pdfs/day5/11_thomas.pdf) even in very massive ellipticals.

© 2014 RAS



Figure 1. Comparison of the mass-to-light ratios, Υ, used by CvD and A3D, and the derived mismatch parameters, α. Panel "a" compares the reference mass-to-light ratios, i.e. those derived from stellar population fitting assuming a standard (Kroupa 2001) IMF. Panel "b" compares the derived spectroscopic and dynamical mass-to-light ratios. Panel "c" compares the ratios $\alpha = \Upsilon/\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$, which indicate the inferred deviations from the standard IMF. In panel "d", the A3D value of α is modified by using the same $\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$ as used by CvD, for greater consistency (cyan lines show the effect of this change). The red cross in panels "c" and "d" shows the median value for the two datasets. The quoted slopes and correlation coefficients r are for comparisons in $\log\Upsilon$ or $\log\alpha$; p is the probability of a larger r under the null hypothesis of no correlation. In panels "a" and "b" the solid line and yellow shading show a linear fit with errors. While the mass-to-light ratios are well correlated between the studies, the ratio α shows essentially no correlation on a galaxy by galaxy basis.

comparing the spectroscopic and dynamical mass-to-light ratios, the reference mass-to-light ratios, and the IMF mismatch factors, on a galaxy-by-galaxy basis (Section 2). Section 3 investigates the systematic correlations of mismatch factor with velocity dispersion and Mg/Fe ratios. In Section 4, I highlight the very different systematic trends obtained from CvD and A3D for this common sample of galaxies and discuss possible resolutions. Brief conclusions are drawn in Section 5. In Appendix A, I show that 4 μm colours from Peletier et al. (2012) do not support a trend of larger dwarf-star content in higher-α galaxies.

2 MASS-TO-LIGHT RATIOS AND IMF FACTORS

The data employed in this paper are all taken from the published sources (table 2 of CvD and table 1 of A3D). The key parameters are the stellar mass-to-light ratios Υ derived from spectral fitting by CvD and those inferred by A3D from dynamical modelling, and the "reference" mass-to-light ratios, $\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$, derived by fitting the spectra with models of fixed IMF. For clarity, the $\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$ from A3D is converted to a Kroupa (2001) reference IMF (rather than Salpeter 1955), to match the convention used by CvD, multiplying by 1.55. The A3D Υ and $\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$ values refer to an aperture of $\sim 1 R_{\rm eff}$ (the effective radius), while the CvD quantities are for an aperture of $R_{\rm eff}/8$. (The typical metallicity gradient implies a $\sim$0.2 dex difference in Z/H between these apertures implying only $\sim$10 per cent difference in mass-to-light ratio.) Additionally, I use the velocity dispersion and Mg/Fe ratios from CvD to test how the IMF correlates with other key galaxy parameters. These quantities are as measured within the central aperture of $R_{\rm eff}/8$. From the tabulated parameters, the IMF mismatch factor α is derived by dividing the spectroscopic and dynamical mass-to-light ratios by the corresponding reference values, i.e. $\alpha_{\rm Spec} = \Upsilon^{\rm CvD}_{K,{\rm Spec}}/\Upsilon^{\rm CvD}_{K,{\rm ref}}$ and $\alpha_{\rm Dyn} = \Upsilon^{\rm A3D}_{r,{\rm Dyn}}/\Upsilon^{\rm A3D}_{r,{\rm ref}}$. The difference in passband (r and K subscripts) in these definitions does not significantly affect the α factor because the additional mass implied by a bottom-heavy IMF (which does not depend on bandpass) greatly exceeds the additional light (which does).

I begin by comparing the reference mass-to-light values used in the two studies, in Figure 1a. A version of this comparison was presented by Cappellari et al. (their figure 10), but they compared K-band mass-to-light from CvD with r-band from A3D, applying a constant offset (value unstated) to account for the difference in bandpass and reference IMF adopted. Here, I use the r-band Υ_{ref} from CvD, which can be derived from parameters in their table 2, since α is independent of bandpass: $\Upsilon_{r,{\rm ref}} = \Upsilon_{r,{\rm Spec}}(\Upsilon_{K,{\rm ref}}/\Upsilon_{K,{\rm Spec}})$. Whereas A3D found a slope consistent with unity, Figure 1a, using the r-band quantities consistently, shows a signifcant tilt, with CvD finding progressively smaller $\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$ for lower-$\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$ (younger or more metal-poor) galaxies. The comparison also indicates a zero point shift: the reference mass-to-light ratios used by CvD are on average 20 per cent smaller than those from A3D (the $\sim$10 per cent offset due to metallicity gradients would act in the opposite direction). The scatter around a linear trend line is only 12 per cent in $\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$. The formal errors are quoted as 7 per cent and 2 per cent in A3D and CvD respectively.

Figure 1b shows the equivalent comparison for the best derived stellar mass-to-light ratios from the two works, i.e. $\Upsilon^{\rm A3D}_{r,{\rm Dyn}}$ versus $\Upsilon^{\rm CvD}_{r,{\rm Spec}}$. A similar figure was shown in CvD (their figure 11), but using the *total* dynamical mass-to-light from Scott et al. (2009), i.e. including dark matter. By contrast, Figure 1b employs the more recently published mass-to-light ratios from Cappellari et al. (2013), which refer to the *stellar* component alone, and hence are directly comparable with CvD. The clear correlation seen in Figure 1b is an impressive achievement, since there are essentially no common ingredients between the A3D dynamical masses and the CvD spectroscopic estimates. The scatter in this comparison is $\sim$50 per cent, greatly in excess of the formal statistical errors of $\sim$7 per cent reported by each study, but supporting the statement by CvD that systematic errors in $\Upsilon_{\rm Spec}$ are no more than 50 per cent.

Of course, the measured mass-to-light ratios reflect not only the IMF but also depend on the metallicity and star-formation history. To isolate the IMF effects, Figure 1c shows the comparison between the mismatch factors $\alpha_{\rm Dyn}$ and $\alpha_{\rm Spec}$. This test does not support any correlation between the IMF constraints obtained from the two methods, at a galaxy by galaxy level (correlation coefficient $r = 0.17$, exceeded with probability 0.34 under the null hypothesis of no correlation). Since the two studies used reference mass-to-light ratios $\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$ which differ systematically, it is also helpful to construct a "hybrid" quantity $\alpha_{\rm Dyn,hyb}$ by dividing the dynamical mass-to-light from A3D by the reference value obtained by CvD, i.e. $\alpha_{\rm Dyn,hyb} = \Upsilon^{\rm A3D}_{r,{\rm Dyn}}/\Upsilon^{\rm CvD}_{r,{\rm ref}}$. This parameter isolates the differences between dynamical and spectroscopic mass-to-light ratios, keeping a consistent treatment of the reference mass-to-light. Fig-



Figure 2. The relationship between IMF mismatch α and velocity dispersion σ, as derived from spectroscopy by CvD and from dynamics by A3D, for the same sample of galaxies. Panel "c" shows A3D adjusted to use the CvD-derived reference mass-to-light ratio, for consistency. Slopes and correlation coefficients are for $\log\alpha$ versus $\log\sigma$. The solid line and yellow shading show the linear fit with errors to the data in each panel.



Figure 3. Equivalent to Figure 2 but now for correlations of IMF mismatch α with abundance ratio [Mg/Fe]. Solid and dotted lines show a linear fit with errors to the data in each panel. Slopes and correlation coefficients are for $\log\alpha$ versus [Mg/Fe]. Note how, compared to the previous figure, the correlation is strengthened for CvD but weakened for A3D.

ure 1d repeats the α comparison using $\alpha_{\rm Dyn,hyb}$ in place of $\alpha_{\rm Dyn}$. This shifts A3D to larger α on average, because the average CvD $\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$ is smaller, but again there is no significant correlation between the IMF parameters derived from the two studies ($r = 0.02$).

3 SYSTEMATIC IMF TRENDS

Although the galaxy-by-galaxy comparison in the previous section found no correlation between dynamical and spectroscopic α, some statistical similarity may still appear when analysing the sample as a whole. For example it is clear that the average mass-to-light ratios in both cases are generally larger than the average reference values, i.e. both methods indicate a heavier-than-MW IMF, on average. This section compares the data at an intermediate level of detail, through the correlations of α with velocity dispersion and [Mg/Fe], i.e. do the two methods yield similar systematic *trends*, when pooling information over the whole sample?

Figure 2 shows the α-vs-σ relation derived from the spectroscopic and dynamical methods. The results from both methods, indicate a significant increase in α with increasing velocity dispersion, at the $\sim 3\sigma$ level. The A3D relation is marginally shallower ($\Delta\log\alpha/\Delta\log\sigma = 0.46\pm0.15$, compared to 0.63 ± 0.18 for CvD) and is offset to slightly lower α. Using $\alpha_{\rm Dyn,hyb}$ (i.e. forcing the same reference mass-to-light ratio in both studies) shifts A3D to higher normalization and reduces the derived slope further to 0.24 ± 0.17: consistent with zero, and marginally inconsistent with the CvD slope. (The slope determined by Cappellari et al. for the *full* A3D sample of 260 galaxies is 0.26 ± 0.05.)

Figure 3 shows the equivalent comparison for the α-versus-[Mg/Fe] relation. As noted by CvD this is a much stronger correlation for their dataset than the $\alpha - \sigma$ relation; the trend is significant at $>7\sigma$. Notably, however, the same is *not* true for the A3D measurements in the same sample: the trend of $\alpha_{\rm Dyn}$ with Mg/Fe is consistent with zero ($\Delta\log\alpha/\Delta[{\rm Mg/Fe}] = 0.34\pm0.34$, which should be compared to 1.95 ± 0.25 slope for CvD). Using $\alpha_{\rm Dyn,hyb}$ flattens the A3D slope further (to -0.00 ± 0.35).

Since Mg/Fe and σ are mutually correlated in early-type galaxies, it is helpful to separate the effects of the two parameters using a simultaneous regression. Fitting a model of the form $\alpha = c_0 + c_1\log(\sigma/200\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}}) + c_2([{\rm Mg/Fe}] - 0.2)$, I obtain the coefficients and error ellipses which are displayed in Figure 4. Because Mg/Fe and σ are positively correlated, the errors on their coefficients are anti-correlated; nonetheless, there is sufficient scatter around the Mg/Fe–σ relation to identify the dominant statistical (though not necessarily causal) "driver" of the correlations. For

CvD, the bivariate fit confirms that Mg/Fe is the *only* informative predictor of α: at fixed Mg/Fe, there is *no* residual correlation with velocity dispersion. By contrast, the A3D measurements show a marginally *negative* correlation with Mg/Fe after controlling for the trend with σ. Despite being based on the same sample of galaxies, the trends derived from A3D and CvD are different at the >4 sigma level, based on the error ellipses in Figure 4. Using $\alpha_{\rm Dyn,hyb}$ makes little difference to the slopes obtained from the bivariate fit.

4 DISCUSSION

Comparing the A3D and CvD determinations of the IMF mismatch factor α, for an identical sample of 34 galaxies, I have shown that there is no correlation between dynamical and spectroscopic α, at a galaxy-by-galaxy level, and that the systematic trends with "mass" are quite different for the two studies. Specifically, although both studies superficially find a correlation of α with velocity dispersion, this trend in CvD merely reflects an underlying correlation with Mg/Fe, while for A3D the correlation is only with σ.

A sceptical interpretation of these results would invoke incomplete accounting for possible confounding factors in the two studies. When the IMF is constrained using the strengths of metal lines, it is found to be correlated only with metal abundances. When dynamical models are used, the IMF is found to correlate only with a dynamical quantity. Although CvD apply state-of-the-art stellar population models to disentangle IMF effects from abundance ratio variations, and A3D include models of the dark-matter to isolate the stellar contribution, these are very challenging analyses, and it is possible that IMF/abundance and IMF/dark-matter degeneracies have not been fully resolved. Of course, the IMF *could* be intrinsically related to either the velocity dispersion or abundance ratios; the point of concern is that the two methods, applied to an identical sample, yield incompatible conclusions about their relative influence. The sceptical interpretation might be supported by the absence of an expected correlation between the 4 μm colour and the inferred IMF mass factors (see Appendix A).

Alternatively, it is possible that both methods correctly measure different aspects of the IMF. Recall that α refers to a ratio of mass-to-light ratios, but only the dynamical method strictly measures mass. CvD instead measure the contribution of cool dwarf stars to the integrated luminosity. The mass-to-light ratio $\Upsilon_{\rm Spec}$ is obtained by fitting a three-part power-law IMF model, and computing the mass and luminosity from this model, integrating from 0.08–100 $M_{\odot}$. This mass can depend quite sensitively on the low-mass cut-off adopted in the integration, but the features used by CvD do not resolve the degeneracies between IMF slopes and low-mass cut-off, and hence their $\Upsilon_{\rm Spec}$ are to some degree dependent on the form of the IMF model imposed. This point has also been emphasised by La Barbera et al. (2013), who show that different prescriptions for IMF models (single-slope vs two-part power-laws) which fit galaxy spectra similarly well can have very different mass-to-light ratios. Potentially, then, the dynamical measurements of α, probing the total stellar mass, are measuring variation in the very-low-mass end of the IMF (or even perhaps the contributions of stellar remnants) while spectroscopic α are measuring a different "moment" of the IMF. Barnabè et al. (2013) have used this argument to constrain jointly the slope and cut-off mass for a power law IMF in lensing ellipticals. In this scenario, the results presented here could indicate that different aspects of the IMF depend on different physical parameters, e.g. slope varying with Mg/Fe but low-mass cut-off dependent on σ.



Figure 4. Coefficients of bivariate fits to the IMF mismatch factor α, as derived for an identical sample of galaxies by CvD (spectroscopic method) and ATLAS3D (dynamical). The contours show the 1,2,3σ confidence regions for the coefficients of velocity dispersion and [Mg/Fe], i.e. c_1 and c_2 in a model of the form $\alpha = c_0 + c_1\log(\sigma/200\,{\rm km\,s^{-1}}) + c_2([{\rm Mg/Fe}] - 0.2)$. The dynamical method finds α correlated only with σ, while the spectroscopic method has α correlated only with abundance ratios.

Finally, another possibility is that the IMF varies substantially *within* galaxies, so that the $R_{\rm eff}/8$ spectroscopic aperture probes populations with a signficantly different degree of dwarf-enrichment compared to the $\sim R_{\rm eff}$ scale probed by the dynamics. Spatially-resolved spectroscopic IMF constraints will be able to test whether this explanation is viable.

5 CONCLUSIONS

I have presented a comparison between spectroscopic (CvD) and dynamical (A3D) results on the IMF in elliptical galaxies, using a common sample of 34 galaxies with measurements in both studies.

The analysis shows that "consensus" between dynamical and spectroscopic measurements is present only at the most rudimentary level: both methods find that a heavier-than-MW IMW is required, on average, for the common sample. The two studies apparently find a correlation of α with some quantity related to galaxy mass. When plotted only against σ, there is reasonable agreement in slope, but this treatment obscures a clear discrepancy between the results: the correlation found by CvD is not a trend with σ, but entirely with the Mg/Fe abundance ratio. By contrast, A3D finds no correlation of α with Mg/Fe and is hence in significant conflict with the spectroscopic method.

The sense of this disagreement could indicate that confounding factors such as dark matter contributions (A3D) or unusual abundance patterns (CvD) have not been correctly separated from the IMF effects in one or other of the methods. Alternatively, since the two methods are sensitive to different aspects of the IMF, further comparison between dynamical and spectroscopic estimates of α might lead to a more detailed understanding of the *shape* of the IMF and its possible variation in elliptical galaxies.

Work is ongoing to derive spectroscopic IMF constraints for more galaxies in the A3D sample, and to improve the treatment of



Figure 5. Residuals from the IRAC [3.6]–[4.5] colour versus metallicity relationship, as a function of IMF mismatch parameter inferred by CvD and A3D. For the CvD panel, the colour is as measured in a $R_e/8$ aperture, while for A3D I use the colour within R_e. Colours are taken from Peletier et al. (2012). The red line is derived from Padova stellar population models and shows the expected trend for redder colours (at fixed metallicity) in more dwarf-enriched galaxies.

element abundance treatment in the CvD models (C. Conroy, private communication). Hence, an enlarged and updated comparison between the two methods should be possible in the near future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by STFC Rolling Grant ST/I001573/1. I thank John Lucey for helpful discussions and comments.

REFERENCES

Auger M.W., Treu T., Bolton A.S., Gavazzi R., Koopmans L.V.E., Marshall P.J., Moustakas L.A., Burles S. 2010, 724, 511
Barnabè M., Spiniello C., Koopmans L.V.E., Trager S.C., Czoske O., Treu T. 2013, MNRAS 436, 253
Bressan A., Marigo P., Girardi L., Salasnich B., Dal Cero C., Rubele S., Nanni A. 2012, MNRAS, 427, 127
Bressan A., Marigo P., Girardi L., Nanni A., Rubele S. 2013, in "Aging Low Mass Stars: From red giants to white dwarfs", eds. Montalbán, Noels & van Grootel; EPJ Web of Conferences, Vol 43, id.03001
Cappellari M. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 1862 (A3D
Conroy C., van Dokkum P. 2012a, ApJ, 747, 69
Conroy C., van Dokkum P. 2012b, ApJ, 760, 71 (CvD)
Kroupa P. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 231
La Barbera F., Ferreras I., Vazdekis A., de la Rosa I.G., de Carvalho R.R., Trevisan M., Falcón-Barroso J., Ricciardelli E. 2013, 433, 3017
Peletier R.F. et al. 2012, MNRAS, 419, 2031
Renzini A., Ciotti L. 1993, ApJ, 416, L49
Scott N. et al. 2009, MNRAS, 398, 1835
Spiniello C., Trager S.C., Koopmans L.V.E., Chen Y.P. 2012, ApJ, 753, L32
Smith R.J., Lucey J.R. 2013, MNRAS, 434, 1964
Smith R.J., Lucey J.R., Carter D. 2012, MNRAS, 426, 2994
Salpeter E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
Treu T., Auger M.W., Koopmans L.V.E., Gavazzi R., Marshall P.J., Bolton A.S. 2010, ApJ, 709, 1195
van Dokkum P., Conroy C. 2010, Nature, 468, 940

APPENDIX A: TESTING IMF VARIATIONS WITH 4-MICRON COLOURS

.

In this appendix, I present a preliminary analysis exploiting *Spitzer Space Telescope* IRAC colours (3.6 μm vs 4.5 μm) as an independent test of IMF variations. This wavelength regime is a promising indicator for dwarf-enriched IMFs, as low-mass stars are redder at 4 μm than all other stars present an an old stellar population, and hence a more bottom-heavy IMF leads to a redder integrated colour. In contrast, increasing the metallicity of a stellar population causes bluer 4μm colours, due in part to the strong CO fundamental absorption band at 4.5 μm present in giants (Peletier et al. 2012).

I estimate the size of the colour-vs-IMF effect using the Padova isochrones (PARSEC ver. 1.1, Bressan et al. 2012, 2013) in a differential manner. I assume a solar-metallicity, 10 Gyr old simple stellar population, with a two-part IMF that is fixed to the Salpeter slope above 1 $M_\odot$ and variable slope at lower mass. (Note this is not identical to the three-part IMF model used by CvD.) Integrating over the isochrone, I calculate the integrated colour and also the K-band mass-to-light ratio (allowing for remnant mass following Renzini & Ciotti 1993). This test indicates that the colour should redden by 0.014 mag for a factor-of-two increase in α.

Since the observed colours show a strong anti-correlation with metallicity (Figure 5a; also Peletier et al. 2012), I fit a model including metallicity and IMF effects simultaneously to isolate the latter, i.e. $[3.6]-[4.5] = a_0 + a_1[\mathrm{Mg/H}] + a_1 \log\alpha$, and define the (partial) residual $\Delta([3.6]-[4.5]) = ([3.6]-[4.5]) - (a_0 + a_1[\mathrm{Mg/H}])$. Correlations in this quantity with α reflect the IMF dependence at fixed metallicity (Mg/H is a close proxy for total metallicity). When comparing to $\alpha_{\rm Spec}$, I use the Peletier et al. tabulated colours within $R_{\rm eff}/8$, to match the CvD spectroscopic aperture, while colours in an aperture of $1R_{\rm eff}$ are employed for the comparisons with A3D.

Figure 5b shows that the trend of colour with $\alpha_{\rm Spec}$, at fixed Mg/H has the opposite sense to that expected from increased dwarf-star content, with $\Delta([3.6]-[4.5])$ becoming bluer by 0.010$\pm$0.007 mag for a factor-of-two increase in $\alpha_{\rm Spec}$. This trend is inconsistent at the $>3\sigma$ level with the expected dependence. The absence of reddening trend is robust against different methods for controlling for metallicity, e.g. fitting independent coefficients for Fe/H and Mg/Fe. Repeating this test using $\alpha_{\rm Dyn}$ from A3D (Figure 5c) again finds no positive correlation of the $[3.6]-[4.5]$ colour with α. Formally the slope is –0.006$\pm$0.005 mag per factor-of-two in α, which is $\sim 4\sigma$ from the predicted trend. Using the more consistent definition of $\alpha_{\rm Dyn}$, with $\Upsilon_{\rm ref}$ from CvD, the slope is reduced close to zero, and the discrepancy with the expected trend is reduced to $\sim 3\sigma$ (Figure 5d).

In conclusion, the observed 4 μm colour trends do not appear support the presence of more low-mass stars in galaxies with higher $\alpha_{\rm Spec}$ or $\alpha_{\rm Dyn}$. The discrepancy is, however, not very significant and possibly subject to uncertainties in the stellar population models, in particular regarding the colours of very low mass dwarfs.